



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/585,793

07/12/2006

Masahide Shima

03200PCT

6046

23165 7590 10/28/2008
ROBERT J JACOBSON PA
650 BRIMHALL STREET SOUTH
ST PAUL, MN 551161511

EXAMINER

CHO, JENNIFER Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/28/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/585,793	Applicant(s) SHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner JENNIFER Y. CHO	Art Unit 1621	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JENNIFER Y. CHO. (3) ____.

(2) Robert Jacobsen. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner confirmed that the non amended claim 10 was not subject to substantive examination under 25 U.S.C. 102 and 103. The Examiner also confirmed that the new proposed claim 10 would not involve any nonstatutory subject matter issues and as amended would not be rejected under 35 USC 101 or 112.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/SHAIENDRA - KUMAR/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621